Megan Jean Louis<sup>1</sup>, Barbara Perez<sup>1</sup>, and Antonia Florio<sup>2,3</sup> Benjamin N. Cardozo High School, <sup>2</sup>Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, DNA Learning Center, <sup>3</sup>The American Museum of Natural History

## Abstract

Our objective for this experiment was to investigate how widespread Salmonella and other pathogenic bacteria are in the captive reptile and amphibians in NYC. This is important because the Center for Disease Control (CDC) has documented several ongoing nationwide *Salmonella* outbreaks, with most victims being under 5 years old. We carried out our experiment by screening samples for a *Salmonella* associated gene (hil-A), and found that only 1/51 tested reptiles and amphibians carried *Salmonella*. We also assessed the microbiome of 18 turtle samples, and found an additional sample contained *Salmonella* and that most of the bacterium contained in the samples were non-pathogenic. These results suggest that pet reptiles and amphibians pose little threat to humans.

#### Introduction

- Salmonella is a bacterium occurring in the intestine that causes a type of food poisoning called Salmonellosis.
- Previous studies have shown that *Salmonella* can be spread to humans through contact with pet reptiles and amphibians like turtles, lizards, and frogs. However, these studies have also indicated that Salmonella infection rates in reptiles and amphibians are low (usually around 5–6%).
- There are two species of *Salmonella*: *Salmonella bongori*a and Salmonella enterica, of which there are around six subspecies and innumerable serovars. *S. enterica* is the species that is usually found in reptiles (Trafny et al., 2006). The gene most commonly used to discern Salmonella from other forms of bacteria, and to identify subspecies and serovars, is the hilA gene (Pathmanathan *et al.*, 2003).
- In this project, we tested the following two hypotheses:
- 1) Salmonella infection rates in turtles and lizards will be low (5–6%)
- 2) Turtles will harbor mostly non-pathogenic bacteria.

#### **Example Species used in this Study**



Leopard gecko



Gray tree frog



Snapping turtle



#### Materials and Methods

- Samples were obtained through oral or fecal swabs of the reptile or amphibian.
- Genomic DNA was extracted using a Mol Bio PowerSoil Kit.
- Using PCR, we amplified the 16S and HIL-A gene
- We confirmed presence of a band of the appropriate size (~600 800 bp) using gel electrophoresis. We then sent away any positive HIL-A samples for sanger sequencing.
- 18 turtle samples were amplified for the V3-V4 region of the 16S gene, and sent away for illumina sequencing to assess the entire microbiome of these samples.

# The Prevalence of Salmonella Bacteria in Captive Reptiles and Amphibians found in New York City

### Sample collection and PCR success

• 56 samples were obtained for DNA extraction (33 turtles, 12 lizards, 4 frogs, 1 gecko and 1 snake). 51 out of the 56 samples were successful DNA extractions because we were able to amplify the 16S gene.

Salmonella results

- In the screening process using the HIL-A primer, only one sample (#60) came up positive for *Salmonella* (see Fig. 1).
- For the 18 samples analyzed with MiSeq sequencing, we were able to identify small traces of *Salmonella enterica* that existed in three other samples (samples 31, 39, 48), which was not identified with the HIL-A primer. Samples 31 and 39 had a very low read count (<8 reads) while Sample 48 (a red foot tortoise) had more reads (>8 reads), so we only considered Sample 48 as a confirmed *Salmonella* infection.

5-	49	50	51	52	53	54	M	5-	49	50	51	52	53	54	M	62	63	N	+
																16	5 <b>S</b>		
55	56	57	58	59	60	61	М	55	56	57	58	59	-60	61	M	62	63	N	+
168 - 04/02/15								HILA - 04/02/15								HIL-A			

Figure 1. Gel results for several reptile and amphibian samples. The 16S gene was used to confirm successful DNA extractions, and the HIL-A gene was used to screen for positive *Salmonella* results.

## Discussion

- We supported our hypothesis that *Salmonella* infections in reptiles and amphibians are low, with only 2/51 samples (4%) showing indication of some *Salmonella* infection. We also found that pathogenic bacteria infection in our samples were low (8/75; 11%), but they did contain 8 species (including Salmonella) associated with human or plant disease. The most common pathogenic bacteria is associated with respiratory disease, and this is not surprising since many of the turtles had respiratory infections.
- It is interesting to note that the HIL-A screening gene did not indicate any *Salmonella* infection in samples 31, 39, and 48, but some sequence reads were recovered as *Salmonella* in the miSeq sequencing results. We think the hil-A primer did not detect *Salmonella* infection due to the very low count of *Salmonella enterica* in these samples.
- Prevention report, 2014).

## Acknowledgements

We thank Lauren Thielen, Abigail Crammer, Amanda McBrien, Lauren Vonnahme, and the AMNH Education Department for their help with collecting samples. Obtaining a *Salmonella* positive control and completing the microbiome analysis would have not been possible without Christine Marizzi, Scott Duong, Annette Lee, and Jared Weiss. We also thank Mrs. Eligon-Jones for allowing us to have a medium in which students can enjoy opportunies such as the UBRP. This work was funded by The Pinkerton Foundation and Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory's DNA Learning Center.

# Results



*Turtle Microbiome Results* 

- Almost all the bacteria identified in the turtle samples were nonpathogenic, and only approximately 11% of the most prevalent bacteria in the samples were found to be potentially pathogenic to humans or plants.
- Samples 36, 38, 47 did not harbor any pathogenic bacteria.
- The most prevalent potentially pathogenic bacteria was *Citrobacteri freundii* which is associated with respiratory infections (see Fig 2.).



Figure 2. Stacked bar graph showing the samples with bacteria that are potentially pathogenic to either plants or humans.



Figure 3. A red foot tortoise (left) and a bearded dragon (Peanut McBrien – right) were the samples showing indication of Salmonella infection.

• Only a bearded dragon and a red foot tortoise came up as infected with Salmonella (Fig. 3). Captive turtle pets have been a problem for young children who become infected with Salmonella (Van Meervenne et al., 2009). The CDC created a rule in 1975 that makes it illegal to sell any turtle with a carapace (shell) of less than 4 inches to help prevent young children from contracting Salmonella (Gerner-Smidt and Wichard, 2010). While the focus has been on turtles transmitting Salmonella, bearded dragons have also recently been linked to a multistate outbreak of Salmonella infection in humans (Center for Disease Control and

References Hidalgo-Vila, J., Díaz-Paniagua, C., de Frutos-Escobar, C., Jiménez-Martínez, C., & Pérez-Santigosa, N. (2007). Salmonella in free living terrestrial and aquatic turtles. Veterinary microbiology, 119(2), 311-315. Mead, P. S., Slutsker, L., Dietz, V., McCaig, L. F., Bresee, J. S., Shapiro, C., Griffin P. M., & Tauxe, R. V. (1999). Food-related illness and death in the United States. *Emerging* infectious diseases, 5(5), 607. Multistate Outbreak of Human Salmonella Cotham and Salmonella Kisarawe Infections Linked to Contact with Pet Bearded Dragons, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014 Trafny, E. A., Kozłowska, K., & Szpakowska, M. (2006). A novel multiplex PCR assay for the detection of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis in human faeces. Letters i applied microbiology, 43(6), 673-679 Pathmanathan, S. G., Cardona-Castro, N., Sanchez-Jimenez, M. M., Correa-Ochoa, M. M., Puthucheary, S. D., & Thong, K. L. (2003). Simple and rapid detection of Salmonella strains by direct PCR amplification of the hilA gene. Journal of medical microbiology, 52(9), 773-776. Zancolli, G., Mahsberg, D., Sickel, W., & Keller, A. (2015). Reptiles as Reservoirs of Bacterial Infections: Real Threat or Methodological Bias?. *Microbial ecology*, 1-6.



