ABSTRACT

Invasive plants pose global ecological and economic threats, challenging accurate morphological identification. DNA barcoding helps in precise species identification, leveraging genetic makeup and reference libraries. This technology enhances our understanding of invasive plant species in New York. To classify species, DNA is extracted from plants in Stuyvesant Square Park, by using alcohol and centrifugation, and uploaded into a database for identification. Some samples, such as 4, 5, 6, 12, and 17, yielded no DNA extraction. Of those with DNA, most were invasive. 17/23 of our samples were invasive species, while the remainder were native. This makes about 74 percent of our samples invasive. DNA barcoding thus offers a vital tool for managing invasive species, promoting ecosystem health, and safeguarding human interests.

INTRODUCTION

Has anyone ever wondered if there is a way to identify invasive plant species in New York? Through DNA barcoding, the species of plants, fungi, and animals can be identified. The DNA barcoding database displays all the species' DNA code where many scientists can pinpoint the classification of living things. This is possible because DNA barcodes are short sequences of DNA strands displaying their identification code. Invasive plants have brought global attention for causing ecological damage and having a negative impact on the economy and human health. To begin the DNA Barcoding process, DNA from different plant specimens are collected from New York City parks. Next, the DNA of these specimens were completely extracted and isolated using alcohols and a centrifuge that remove impurities from the plant's DNA. Finally, with the isolated DNA removed and examined the DNA was uploaded into the database to discover which species it is most like. By using cross reference compared with online databases we have distinguished between different invasive plants throughout the experiment.

MATERIALS

Leaf Samples	Pestles	Forceps	Micropipettes
Tube Rack	1.5 ml microfuge tubes	Heat Block	Centrifuge
Nuclei Lysis Solution	Protein Precipitation Solution	RNA Solution	DNA Rehydration Solution
Isopropanol	70% Ethanol	Goggles	Latex Gloves

BIODIVERSITY OF INVASIVE

PLANTS IN NEW YORK

ELIZABETH MIRANDA, SUNAYA BHOODAI, ALEXANDER TROSHIN, AND INA CHEN HIGH SCHOOL FOR HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND HUMAN SERVICES



RESULTS

	Potential Species Name	Number of Mismatches	Is it Invasive?
Sample #1 (KFW-001)	Oenothera stricta	5	Yes, because it is not native to the United States, but instead in South America.
Sample #2 (KFW-002)	Jerusalem artichoke	0	No, because it is native to central North America.
Sample #3 (KFW-003)	Oenothera biennis	0	No, because it is native to central and eastern North America.
Sample #7 (KFW-007)	Hexastylis arifolia	0	No, because this plant is native to the United States.
Sample #8 (KFW-008)	Hydrangea serrata	0	Yes, because it is native to mountainous regions in Korea and Japan.
Sample #9 (KFW-009)	Helleborus orientalis	6	Yes, because it originated in Greece which is in Southern Eastern Europe.
Sample #10 (KFW-010)	Rosa multiflora	24	Yes, because this plant is native to East Asia in areas like China, Japan, and Korea.

Sample #11 (KFW-011)	Amica louiseana	69	No, because it's native in the North American region. It can be found in Canada.	$\left \right.$	Sample #22 (KFW-022)	Hedera helix
Sample #13 (KFW-013)	Ageratina altissima	3	No, because it is native to eastern and central North America.		Sample #23	
Sample #14 (KFW-014)	Zelkova serrata	13	Yes, because this plant is native to Japan, Korea, eastern China,		(KFW-023)	Stachys byzantina
Sample #15 (KFW-015)	llex aquifolium	2	Yes, because the plant is native to western and		Sample #24 (KFW-024)	Ageratina altissima
			southern Europe, northwest Africa, and southwest Asia.		Sample #25 (KFW-025)	Zelkova serrata
Sample #16 (KFW-016)	Zeikova serrata	1	Yes, because the plant is native in Japan, Korea, eastern China and Taiwan	-	Sample #26	Alliaria petiolata
Sample #18 (KFW-018)	Stachys byzantina	2	Yes, this plant is invasive because it is native to Armenia, Iran, and Turkey		(
Sample #19 (KFW-019)	Zelkova serrata	2	Yes, because the plant is native to native to Japan, Korea, castern China and Taiwan.			
Sample #20 (KFW-020)	Nicotiana sylvestris	6	Yes, because the plant is native to native to the Andes region in Argentina and Bolivia, in Scath America		Sample #27 (KFW-027)	Lepidium draba
Sample #21 (KFW-021)	Nicotiana forsteri	1	Yes, this is invasive because this particular plant is active to		Sample #28 (KFW-028)	Nepeta



Yes, because th is native to mo Europe and we Asia

(es, because this pl

s native to Armen Iran, and Turkey

No, because this p is native to eastern central North Ame

Yes, because this pla is native to Japan, Korea eastern Chir

rea, eastern C and Taiwan

Yes, because it is not to Europe, western a central Asia, north-western Afric, dorocco, Iberia and t British Isles, north t sorthern Scard

and east to nort Pakistan and Xin in western Chi Yes, because this is native to West and Southeast Europe.

Yes, because is nati to Europe, Asia, ar Africa. RESULTS

Upon collecting the leaves from Stuyvesant Square Park,

the group used the DNA Barcoding database and identified the species name utilizing the DNA that was removed. Then, with the help of the internet, the group looked up the invasive plant species in New York and determined if the identified species was invasive or not. In some of the samples DNA couldn't be extracted (e.g., samples 4, 5, 6, 12, and 17). Of the samples who's DNA was extracted, the majority were found to be invasive $(17/23, \approx74\%)$ and the rest native to their environment.

CONCLUSION

The hypothesis was supported given the plants in Stuyvesant Square Park had sequenced DNA which was similar to those of invasive species. The plants were predominantly native to European and Asian countries. However, there were six plant species identified which were native in North America.

Overall, the method of extracting DNA was effective in helping to determine whether a plant species was invasive or not. If we only looked at the leaves or just the plants itself it would have been impossible to determine. In conducting the experiment, the group hopes that this information can be used to preserve the biodiversity of the native plants.

REFERENCES

- DNA Learning Center Barcoding 101, <u>dnabarcoding101.org/symposium/details/3318</u>. Accessed 9 Oct. 2023.
- (PDF) DNA Barcoding for Plants Researchgate, www.researchgate.net/publication/267932973 DNA barcoding for plants. Accessed 9 Oct. 2023.
- Vere, Natasha de, et al. "DNA Barcoding for Plants." Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.), U.S. National Library of Medicine, <u>pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25373752/</u>. Accessed 9 Oct. 2003

DNA Isolation: Equipment, Reagents & Safety (Video) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T1JCG8CQWxU

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude towards Ms. Apilan who prepared us to complete this experiment with videos to familiarize ourselves with the procedure. Moreover, we would like to direct our appreciation to the New York Urban Barcode Project organization who accepted our research proposal, and provided us the

opportunity to further our knowledge along with aid us through this experiment.