



## Abstract

Shrimp are central to the food chains of numerous marine ecosystems. In salt marshes, they serve as detritivores, keeping the ecosystem running. Marsh restoration is the process of rebuilding marshes that have been destroyed/degraded by human intervention or natural events; this is done by restoring their natural tidal flow, re-planting native plant life, and removing invasive species. To assess the efficacy of restoration efforts, we compared the abundance and diversity of shrimp species in Randall's Island restored marsh and unrestored sandy shore. Samples were collected from the restored marsh and unrestored shoreline, and their DNA was sequenced to determine all present species. There was no detected difference in biodiversity between the areas, however, a greater abundance of shrimp was found in the restored marsh.

### Introduction

Shrimp are diverse organisms with a wide variety of characteristics; most share several determining characteristics, making it hard to differentiate species without sequencing their DNA (Britannica, 2023). Shrimp are omnivorous scavengers, eating small crustaceans/marine invertebrates. They also rely on seaweed, grasses, and other debris for protection from predators (Britannica, 2023).

Randall's Island is located on the East side of Manhattan and contains a number of fields and parks. Within the park, there exists a restored salt marsh and an unrestored sandy beach. Restored salt marshes are productive ecosystems with a great abundance of tall grass (Spartina alterniflora). Such areas provide protection and food for a multitude of species (Waterfront & Natural Areas, 2023). The unrestored area lacks abundant plant life and rather contains debris/pilings, stones, and seaweed.

We wondered how Randall's Island recent restoration efforts would affect animal life in its bodies of water. We selected shrimp as our organism of interest as they --particularly the *Palaemon vulgaris*, *Palaemon pugio*, and Crangon septemspinosa-- are traditionally found in abundance in salt marshes. We also conducted a visual phenotypic analysis to determine any defining characteristics, namely whether or not the shrimp had pincers.

## The Effect of Restoration of Marshes on Shrimp Abundance

### Brooke Bezoza, Gabby Santemma, Sabrina Stein

#### Ethical Culture Fieldston School

# Materials & Methods

- 45 shrimp were collected using seining nets • 16 were from Randall's Island unrestored shoreline and 29 from its restored marsh
- 15 samples from each group were randomly selected for visual phenotypic analysis (sorted into pincer and non-pincer) and amplification.
- Samples were taken from the abdominal segments on the dorsal side, or from brain, right above the stomach.
- Using a centrifuge, lysis solution, silica resin, and wash buffer, DNA was isolated
- DNA was amplified and through gel electrophoresis and products were analyzed
- In 19 samples DNA were detected /sequenced by Genewiz, and assessed using the BLAST program on the Cyverse DNA Subway database
- The identified sequences were then aligned using the MUSCLE program and entered into PHYLIP ML

### Results

|         | # Shrimp Collected<br>Unrestored | # Shrimp Collected<br>Restored |
|---------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Round 1 | 5                                | 12                             |
| Round 2 | 4                                | 8                              |
| Round 3 | 7                                | 9                              |
| Total   | 16                               | 29                             |

Table 1. Shrimp Abundance Data. Shrimp were collected in the restored and restored sections of Randall's Island's Little Hell Gate Salt Marsh using a seining net. 29 shrimp were collected in the restored marsh and 16 in the unrestored.

| Sample<br>Number | Unrestored<br>vs Restored | Forward/<br>Reverse/<br>Both | Aln Length | Bit Score | Species              |
|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------------------|
| KSQ-005          | Unrestored                | Both                         | 651        | 1159      | Palaemon<br>vulgaris |
| KSQ-009          | Unrestored                | Forward                      | 350        | 620       | Palaemon<br>vulgaris |
| KSQ-010          | Unrestored                | Forward                      | 347        | 593       | Palaemon<br>vulgaris |
| KSQ-012          | Unrestored                | Reverse                      | 40         | 73.4      | Eukrohnia<br>hamata  |
| KSQ-016          | Restored                  | Reverse                      | 475        | 832       | Palaemon<br>vulgaris |
| KSQ-020          | Restored                  | Reverse                      | 379        | 648       | Palaemon<br>vulgaris |

Table 3. Sequencing Data. Data shows the samples' places of origin, whether they were fully sequenced, alignment lengths, bit scores, and determined species. n=6



Figure 1: Map of the Little Hell Gate Salt Marsh on Randall's Island indicating the locations of the collected samples. 16 samples were collected from the unrestored marsh, and 29 were collected from the restored



|                                      | 1      | ]  | L00 | 2 | 00            | 30           |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----|-----|---|---------------|--------------|
| Sequence Conservation                | 1400 i | d, |     |   | nan thàilte t | da 🖬 🖬 la la |
| Sequence Variation                   |        |    |     |   |               | an tar ar a  |
| Consensus                            |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 1. KSQ-020-R                         |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 2. KSQ-016-R                         |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 3. MH631051.1 palaemon_vulgaris      |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 4. KSQ-012-R                         |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 5. Brachycentrus_americanus          |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 6. KSQ-010-F                         |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 7. KSQ-009-F                         |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 8. KSQ-005                           |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 9. MZ595233.1 palaemon_vulgaris      |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 10. FJ581833.1 palaemonetes_vulgaris |        |    |     |   |               |              |
| 11. MH631052.1 palaemon_vulgaris     |        |    |     |   |               |              |

Figure 2: Trimmed Multiple Alignment Created By MUSCLE. This image shows 300 bp of sequence conservation, with the colors representing different nucleotides. Conservation is represented by gray and variation by white. Sequenced samples have high alignment with each other and reference sequences of Palaemon vulgaris, suggesting that this is the identity of the

|  | KSQ-020-R<br>KSQ-016-R      |
|--|-----------------------------|
| KSQ-010-F  | ∫ MH631051.1lpalaemon_vulga |
| KSQ-005<br>MZ595233.1lpalaemon_vulgaris                        | 2-012-K                     |
| FJ581833.1lpalaemonetes_vulgar<br>MH631052.1lpalaemon_vulgaris |                             |
| KSQ-009-F Brachycentrus_americanus                             |                             |

Figure 3: Phylogenetic Tree of Sequencing Results. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree displaying the evolutionary relationships between the identified species. The samples notably share similar evolutionary origins with Palaemon vulgaris, with the exception of KSQ-012, which was identified as *Eukrohnia hamata*. The species highlighted in red has the least genetic commonalities with the rest, functioning as the outgroup.

CSH Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory DNA LEARNING CENTER

#### Funded by the Thompson Family Foundation

| Discussion   |  |
|--|--|
| • We hypothesized that the restored marsh would have greater shrimp abundance and species diversity that the unrestored area. Our hypothesis was partially   | n  |
| <ul> <li>supported.</li> <li>Of 45 samples, 29 came from restored marsh, 1<br/>from unrestored area</li> <li>Only <i>Palaemon vulgaris</i> was identified</li> <li>The restored marsh = abundant plant life = more suital<br/>living conditions for its organisms (food, protection fropredators, etc).</li> <li><i>Palaemon vulgaris</i> prefer habitats with <i>Spartina</i><br/><i>alterniflora</i>, a common marsh grass</li> <li>The unrestored area = lack of vegetation = less suitabl<br/>habitat = leading to lower abundance.</li> <li>In unrestored area: low-moderate certainty that a<br/><i>Eukrohnia hamata</i> was present.</li> <li>Shrimp are known to eat small invertebrates like these<br/>one may have been in shrimp's stomach and was<br/>accidentally detected in the DNA sequencing</li> </ul>   | 6<br>ble<br>om<br>;                              |
| <ul> <li>Potential Errors:         <ul> <li>KSQ 001-KSQ 020 left outside of the freezer for two weeks. However, two of these samp were re-tested using gel electrophoresis and maintained positive results.</li> <li>Labels of the samples were written in Sharp however, wore off in processing, so some w rewritten without complete confidence in th identity</li> <li>Potential cross-contamination occurred en ratio lab for sequencing                 <ul> <li>only obtained forward/reverse sequences 1 sample</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>   | er<br>les<br>oie;<br>ere<br>eir<br>oute<br>s for |
| <ul> <li>Future Studies:         <ul> <li>How an abundance of <i>palaemon vulgaris</i> af the ecosystem</li> <li>Role of <i>palaemon vulgaris</i> in both marshes ecosystems</li> </ul> </li> <li>Significance:</li> </ul>   | fects  |
| <ul> <li>Significance.</li> <li>Results indicate the importance of plant life supporting shrimp life</li> <li>Efforts to increase diversity = better condition for both shrimp and other aquatic organisms</li> </ul>  | in<br>ons<br>5.                                  |
| References   |  |
| (Accessed: 08 November 2023).  |  |
| https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-021-18305-5.  | LINK.  |
| Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia. "shrimp". Encyclopedia Britannica, 13 Oct. 2023, <u>https://www.britannica.com/animal/shrimp-crustacean.</u> Accessed 7 November 2023.   |  |
| Common grass shrimp (no date) Chesapeake Bay Program. Available at:<br>https://www.chesapeakebay.net/discover/field-guide/entry/common-grass-shrimp (Accessed: 01 November<br>Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory DNA Learning Center. (2018). Using DNA Barcodes to Identify and Clas<br>Living Things. DNA Barcoding 101. Retrieved October 31, 2023, from<br>https://dnabarcoding101.org/files/using-dna-barcodes.pdf   | r 2023).<br>sify                                 |
| <i>Grass Shrimp (Palaemonetes vulgaris)</i> (no date) <i>South Carolina Department of Natural Resources</i> . Availat <u>https://www.dnr.sc.gov/marine/mrri/acechar/speciesgallery/Invertebrates/GrassShrimp/index.html</u> (Access November 2023).  | ole at:<br>ed: 01                                |
| S, S. (n.d.). <i>External morphology of prawn / shrimp</i> . Retrieved November 8, 2023,<br>from <u>https://courseware.cutm.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/</u><br>2.Morphology-Prawn-or-shrimp.pdf  |  |
| <ul> <li>Waterfront &amp; Natural Areas. (n.d.). Randall's Island Park Alliance. Retrieved<br/>November 1, 2023, from https://randallsisland.org/</li> <li>Acknowledgements</li> <li>We would like to acknowledge Ms Lee for her assistance throughout the<br/>process. We would also like to thank John Butler and the Randall's Island H<br/>Alliance for their help in obtaining our samples. Lastly, we would like to the<br/>our Science Research class for their encouragement.</li> </ul>   | Park<br>nank                                     |
| <ul> <li>(Accessed: 08 November 2023).</li> <li>Billah, Masum. 2024. "Salt marsh restoration: an overview of techniques and success indicators." Springer https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-021-18305-5.</li> <li>Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia. "shrimp". Encyclopedia Britannica, 13 Oct. 2023, https://www.britannica.com/animal/shrimp-crustacean_Accessed 7 November 2023.</li> <li>Common grass shrimp (no date) Chesapeake Bay Program. Available at: https://www.chesapeakebay.net/discover/field-guide/entry/common-grass-shrimp (Accessed: 01 November 2023.</li> <li>Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory DNA Learning Center. (2018). Using DNA Barcodes to Identify and Clas Living Things. DNA Barcoding 101. Retrieved October 31, 2023, from https://dnabarcoding101.org/files/using-dna-barcodes.pdf</li> <li>Grass Shrimp (Palaemonetes vulgaris) (no date) South Carolina Department of Natural Resources. Availat https://www.dnr.sc.gov/marine/mri/acechar/speciesgallery/Invertebrates/GrassShrimp/index.html (Accesse November 2023).</li> <li>S, S. (n.d.). External morphology of prawn / shrimp. Retrieved November 8, 2023, from https://courseware.cutm.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/ _2.Morphology-Prawn-or-shrimp.pdf</li> <li>Waterfront &amp; Natural Areas. (n.d.). Randall's Island Park Alliance. Retrieved November 1, 2023, from https://randallisisland.org/</li> <li>Acknowledgements</li> <li>We would like to acknowledge Ms Lee for her assistance throughout the process. We would also like to thank John Butler and the Randall's Island F Alliance for their help in obtaining our samples. Lastly, we would like to the our Science Research class for their encouragement.</li> </ul> | Link.<br>r 2023).<br>ole at:<br>ed: 01           |