

Sniffing Out the Carcinogenic Spy: The Identification of p53 and PTEN Mutations in Glioblastoma

Abstract

In order to have a better understanding of molecular signature cancer for efficient cancer therapy, this study aims to identify most frequently mutated genes in brain cancers through put database. Here, we chose glioblastoma (GBM) and glioma as models and analyzed public data in cBioPortal database. We fou that two tumor suppressor genes PTEN and p53 are the two me frequently mutated genes in GBM and glioma. And R273H a R248Q are mutation hotspots of p53; while R130 and T319 are eas mutated sited of PTEN. At the same time, we also took one GBM line to verify the mutation sites of p53 and PTEN. However, due COVID-19 and time limitation, this part is not fully characterized.

Background

- Solioblastoma (GBM) is an aggressive (grave IV) malignant br tumor in adults, causing increased intracranial pressure, result in headaches and focal or progressive neurologic deficits (Day 2016).
- \clubsuit It is the MOST COMMON primary malignant brain tumor w ONLY A 6.8 PERCENT FIVE-YEAR SURVIVAL RATE and an avera length of survival between twelve and eighteen months (Day 2016; National Brain Tumor Society, 2020).
- TP53 (p53) is a well-known tumor suppressor located chromosome 17p13.1, that has been linked with a gene vulnerability to the development of tumors - more than 50% human cancers have p53 mutations (American Cancer Socie 2014; Zhang et al., 2018).
- PTEN, another well-known tumor suppressor located chromosome 10, prevents tumor growth and survival promotes chromosomal stability and DNA repair that has be linked with a genetic vulnerability that promotes tumorigene and resistance to anti-cancer therapeutics (Dillon & Miller, 2014).
- I conducted this study to determine the correlation betwee mutations in the tumor suppressor genes, p53 and PTEN, and emergence of glioblastoma.

Materials & Methods

cBioPortal Database used in this study: https://www.cbioportal.org/

Primers for the tumor suppressor genes, p53 and PTEN, were design by NCBI primer design (<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-</u> blast/)

DNA was from GBM cell line. To amplify the DNA, PCR was perform and the products were then analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresi and then submitted for Sanger sequence.

*Due to COVID-19, I only did quick DNA extract at home, and the re of experiments were conducted by staffs at DNA learning center.

Blandino, G., & Di Agostino, S. (2018). New therapeutic strategies to treat human cancers expressing mutant p53 proteins. Jou Brain Tumor Markers. (n.d.). Retrieved December 20, 2020, from https://www.ptglab.com/prod ucts/featured -products/brain Brain Tumors. (n.d.). Retrieved December 12, 2020, from https://www.aans.org/en/Patients/Neu rosurgical-Conditions-and-Tr Cancer. (2018, December 12). Retrieved November 28, 2020, from https://www.mayoclinic.org/ diseases-conditions/cancer/symptoms-causes/syc-20370588 Cancer. (2020, February 11). Retrieved December 20, 2020, from https://www.nih.gov/about-ni h/what-we-do/nih-turning-discovery-into-health/cancer-2020 Chen, H., Mei, L., Zhou, L., Shen, X., Guo, C., Zheng, Y., Zhu, H., Zhu, Y., & Huang, L. (2011). PTEN restoration and PIK3CB knockdown synergistically suppress glioblastoma growth in vitro and in xenografts. Journal of neuro-oncology, 104(1), 155–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-010-0492-2

Davis M. E. (2016). Glioblastoma: Overview of Disease and Treatment. Clinical journal of oncology nursing, 20(5 Suppl), S2–S8. https://doi.org/10.1188/16.CJON.S1.2-8 Dillon, L. M., & Miller, T. W. (2014). Therapeutic targeting of cancers with loss of PTEN function. Current drug targets, 15(1), 65–79. https://doi.org/10.2174/1389450114666140106100909 NCI Staff. (2020, July 27). How CRISPR Is Changing Cancer Research and Treatment. National Cancer Institute. https://www.cancer.gov/news-events/cancer-currents-blog/2020/crispr-cancer-research-treatment. Oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes. (2014, June 25). Retrieved December 6, 2020, from https://www.cancer.org/cancer/cancer-causes/genetics/genes-and-cancer/oncogenes-tumor-suppressor-genes.html Quick Brain Tumor Facts. (n.d.). Retrieved November 25, 2020, from https://braintumor.org/brain-tumor

Weir, H. K., Thompson, T. D., Soman, A., Møller, B., & Leadbetter, S. (2015). The past, present, and future of cancer incidence in the United States: 1975 through 2020. Cancer, 121(11), 1827 –1837. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29258 Zhang, Y., Dube, C., Gibert, M., Jr, Cruickshanks, N., Wang, B., Coughlan, M., Yang, Y., Setiady, I., Deveau, C., Saoud, K., Grello, C., Oxford, M., Yuan, F., & Abounader, R. (2018). The p53 Pathway in Glioblastoma. Cancers, 10(9), 297. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10090297

Dheeman S. Klair¹ and Linda K. Peng²

¹Brooklyn Technical High School and ²Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

							Resu	lts							
	Most Prevalent	Males (1629 samples)	Females (1102 samples)	Race	TP-53 Survival (985 samples)	PTEN Survival (397 samples)	IDH1(1057 samples		Most Prevalent	Males (403 samples)	Females (248 samples)	Race	Tp-53 Survival (87 samples)	PTI Surv (9	
Diagnosis Age	s 50-55 (10.5%	6) 50-55 (10.8%)	50-55 (10.7%)	N/A	25-30 (11.7%)	50-55 (16.4%)	30-40 (30.3%)	Diagnosis Age	55-60 (13 3%)	55-60 (151%)	60-65 (11.3%)	N/A	70-75 (13.8%)	60- (17.7	
Sex	Male (57.3%	5) N/A	N/A	N/A	Male (58.0%)	Male (61.3%)	Male (55.2%)	Sex	Male (61.0%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Male (59.8%)	Ma (59.	
f sample ith PTEN nutation	les (397/2254) N (17.6%)	(251/1320) (19.0%)	(144/867) (16.4%)	N/A	135/985 (13.7%) Both lead to 44.5% survival w/ 55 and male (61.3%) and 50-55 (14.2%)	N/A	22/1057 (1.6%)	# of mutations with PTEN	(96/322) (29.8%)	(57/205) (27.8%)	(38/114) (33.3%)	N/A	(31/87) (35.6%) w/ male (64.5%) and 8 (25.8%) survival	N	
ith TP-5: Autation	(985/2254) (43.7%) N/A (80.4% Supratentor) (621/138) (44.3%)) N/A (81.1%) ja Supratentori	(364/867) (42.0%) N/A (78.1%) Supratentori	N/A	N/A N/A(83.7%)	141/397 (35.5%) N/A(96.4%) Supratentori	660/1057 (62.2%) N/A (77.6%) Supratentori	# of samples	(87/322)	(52/205)	(35/114)	N/A	and (70-75 age - 19.4%) N/A	(31	
umor Site	l, Frontal Lol (11.5%)	be al, Frontal Lobe (10.7%)	al, Frontal Lobe (13.5%)		Supratentorial, Frontal Lobe (9.7%)) al, Frontal Lobe (1.9%)	al, Frontal Lobe (15.0%)	With TP-53 Mutation	(27.0%)	(25.4%)	(30.7%)			(32	
Survival Status	1498 (57.0%	6) 867 (57.6%)	631 (60.4%)	N/A	560 (63.1%)	163 (45.0%)	748 (76.2%)	Survival Status	133 (20.5%)	78 (19.7%)	55 (22.6%)	N/A	26 (29.9%)	21 (
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Variant =	100 R273C/H/L	P53	ad	300	P53_tetramer	393aa 1249	P53_TAD Variant =	100 R248Q/W		P63 200 R273H/C -	(5/104 -	953_te 300 R175H (5	iramer	
s	NP for all	194/1249 w/ 2 duplicates iploid - 164 (84.5 hallowDel -9 (4.6 MP - 3 (1.55%)	6.3% 2) 2 (%) Diplo (%) Shall (AMP -	25.6% Duplicates) Diploid - 64 (86.5%) ShallowDel -2 (2.7%) AMP - 0 (0%)		w/ 31.3% Duplicates) Diploid - 46 (90.2%) ShallowDel -0 (0%) AMP - 0 (0%)		Copy #	(10/104- 9 Diploid - 7 (710 ShallowDel -2 (AMP - 0 (0%) Gain - 0 (0%)) 20%)	4.83 Diploid - 2 (40% ShallowDel - 3 (AMP - 0 (0%) Gain - 0 (0%)	%) (60%)	Diploid - 4 (ShallowDel AMP - 0 (0% Gain - 0 (0%	%) 30%) - 1 (20%))	
	G	ain - 1 (0.52%) 8	Gain	- 1 (1.35%)	7	Gain - 0 (0%)		Exon	7		8		5		
	Types R	273C - 143 (73.7% 273H - 42 (21.6%) 273L - 8 (4.1%)) R2480 R2481	Q - 42(56.) N - 32 (43	8%) .2%)	R175H - 50(98.09 R175G - 1 (1.96%)	6)	Types	R248Q - 6 (60% R248W - 3 (30% R248L - 1 (20%))	R273H - 4 (80%) R273C - 1 (20%)		R175H - 5 (10	0.0%)	
D	eleterious	(151/194) (77.8	4) (77.8%) (74/74) (100%)		(100%)	(1/51) (2.0%) *the only R175G		Deleterious	ous (10/10) (100%) # of 49.2		(1/5) (20%) R273C 48.2		0%	0%	
A	verage # of	34.56		27	7.6	15.1	15.1						75.		
(b mı	ottom utation): The hs for p Males to fem Table The m	three 53. made ales. 7 1 and utatio	mos up he 29.9	st comr over ha age ran 9% in Ta vere cor	non If of al ge 50- able 2	l the n 55 wa led to ⁻	(botto mutation nutation s most further the DN	m): The search of the search o	ne thr r p53 oles a on. T ch int dina	ee mo nd hac he low o the g domai	st co d a lo y sur gene n of	ommon ower sur vival rat 9. p53 as	rvin e c sef	
	**	Iddle					a nigne	er avera	ye nu	nber				ġ,	







The research conducted on the cBioPortal revealed that both p53 and PTEN affected people in the age groups of 50-60 the most in the glioma and glioblastoma multiforme databases. Survival rates for both dropped from the mid 50% in the glioma databases to the mid 20% in the GBM databases. On the other hand, the number of mutations increased from the mid-20s to the mid-50s from the glioma to the GBM database, possibly indicating a useful signal in identifying the emergence of GBM.

- requires further research into therapeutic strategies to combat it.
- Miller, 2014).
- DNA within the 3 billion letters of the human genome" (NCI Staff, 2020).
- analysis.

ate compared FEN of 45% in

Figures 1-2. compared to e variant type

in Figures 3oid mutations valent in both

the p53 gene. ge, 2 deletion amino acid to 7. 2 of the 9 t amino acids

# DTEN Mutations	R1301016 R1301016 DSPc 100	PTEN_C2 200 320		# PTEN Mutations	••••••	R130*IG/Q and 1 more		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Variant = SNP for all	R130*/Q/G - (22/415 w/ 13.67% duplicates)	T319*- (14/415 w/ 21.4% Duplicates)	X70_splice/L70H/ L70I (10/415 w/ 10% Duplicates)	Var SNP	iant = for all	R130*/Q/G - (7/99-7.8%) Missense (2)	R233*- (5/99-5.6%) All nonsense	D107Y/H, C136Y/R AND R173H/C (9/99 - 10%) - All	
Copy #	Diploid - 13 (59.0%) ShallowDel -9 (27.8%) DeepDel - 1 (4.6%)	Diploid - 11 (78.6%) ShallowDel -2 (18.2%) Deep Del - 0 (0%)	Diploid - 5 (50%) ShallowDel -2 (20%) DeepDel - 1 (10%)			Del (1) Diploid - 0 (0%)	Diploid - 2 (40%)	Missense Diploid - 8 (89%)	
		0	2	Co	opy #	ShallowDel - 5 (71.4%) DeepDel - 0 (0%)	ShallowDel -3 (60%) Deep Del - 0 (0%)	ShallowDel -0 (0%) DeepDel - 0 (0%)	
EXON	5	8	3	E	xon	5	7	5 AND 6-(R proteins)	
Types	R130* - 13 (59.0%) R130Q - 7 (31.9%) R130G - 2 (9.9%)	T319* - 14 (100%)	X70_splice - 8(80.0%) L70I - 1 (10%) L70H - 1 (10%)	Ту	ypes	R130* - 4 (57.1%) R130Q - 1 (14.3%)	R233* - 5 (100%)	D107Y- 2(22%) D107H- 1 (11%) C136Y- 2 (22%)	
Deleterious	(9/22) (27.8%)	(0/14) (0%)	(2/10) (20%) *the L proteins			R130G - 1 (14.3%) R130Qfs*4 - 1 (14.3%)		R173H - 2 (22%) R173C - 1 (11%)	
				Dele	terious	(2/7) (28.6%)	(0/5)(0%)	(9/9) (100%)	
Average # of mut	12.55	12.55 24.7							
				Avera	age # of nut	59.86	117	43.1	
Figur	e 3 (top): sh	lows all the mu	utations for	Ci		ro A (ton)	• chowc all t	-ho	

PTEN in the glioma databases. **Table 5** (bottom): The three most common mutations for PTEN.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. Linda K. Peng for helping me throughout the research process, Dr. Allison Mayle for fueling my interest in the program, MSKCC for providing the GBM cell line, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory for providing resources, and the whole UBRP team for providing me with this opportunity.





Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center.

Discussion

The least fatal p53 mutation in both databases was R175H and could therefore be held less significant than other mutations for prognosis or identification of GBM. Even though R273C/H/L had deleterious effects on 77.8% of patients discovered to have that mutation in the glioma samples but only 20% for GBM, therapeutic strategies to restore p53 function by inhibiting this mutation are essential. R278Q/W/L, which had 100% deleterious in the samples in which the mutations were discovered, is an exceedingly fatal mutation that

As seen in the databases, no specific mutation in PTEN prevailed as the most common or the most fatal. However, the mutations were concentrated in exons 5-7, indicating the need for further research into this region for therapeutic strategies. Further research into the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT/mTOR pathway, which represses tumor cell growth and survival, could provide more therapeutic methods in battling GBM (Dillon &

 \clubsuit Furthermore, the use of CRISPR gene-editing technology to target specific hotspots mentioned previously, like R248Q/W/L, could transform the battle against not only GBM but many other cancers due to its cheap cost and ability to edit "virtually any segment of

The laboratory procedure involving the GBM cell line provided a few results. The mutations within PTEN involved different amino acids, affirming the trend discovered in the databases. However, either primer issues or incorrect methodology during the DNA extraction process combined with the inability to access a laboratory to perform the procedure individually may have caused the poor quality of the Sanger sequencing. Nonetheless, it was a valuable learning experience in DNA extraction, PCR, and DNA

> Figure 4 (top): shows all the mutations for PTEN in the GBM databases. Table 6 (bottom): The three most common mutations for PTEN.